



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/618,165	07/17/2000	Jae Beom Choi	8733.039.20	8415
30827                      7590                      12/06/2010				
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP				
1900 K STREET, NW				
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				
EXAMINER				
CHWASZ, JADE R				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2872				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/06/2010		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/618,165	CHOI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JADE R. CHWASZ	2872	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Jade R. Chwasz.

(2) Valerie Hayes.

**Date of Interview:** 1 December 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner Chwasz requested clarification to the amendment found on page 3 of the amendments dated 7/17/2000. The amendment recited: "for Specification page 13 line 1, change 'the' (second occurrence)." Attorney Hayes clarified that the amendment should have instead recited: "for Specification page 13, line 1 delete 'the' (second occurrence)" such that the first sentence of page 13 reads: "Therefore, one-directional movement reduces the number of processes..."*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jade R Chwasz/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2872

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 9:00 AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)